

# Do we need a framework for risk governance of nanotechnology?

## EuroNanoForum Bucharest, 12 June 2019

## **Marie-Valentine Florin**



NANORIGO European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme grant agreement No 814530



In collaboration with Gov4Nano and RiskGONE



 École polytechnique fédérale

## A framework for risk governance



 Governance refers to the actions, processes, traditions and institutions by which authority is exercised and decisions are taken and implemented.

- Involves multi-disciplinary sciences and
- multi-stakeholder approaches
- Is based on a defined and structured process to addressing risk in a comprehensive and holistic manner
  - Identification
  - Assessment (hazard, exposure, vulnerability)
  - Evaluation of acceptability, decision-making
  - Management and regulatory-relevant recommendations
  - Communication of risks



#### NAN PRIGO





## There exists already many 'frameworks' and tools for risk and safety assessment

Environment International 95 (2016) 36-53



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

#### Environment International

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envint

Review article

Frameworks and tools for risk assessment of manufactured nanomaterials

Danail Hristozov 4,8, Stefania Gottardo b, Elena Semenzin 4, Agnes Oomen c, Peter Bos c, Willie Pe Martie van Tongere Lang Tran d. Antonio

- Department of Environmental So
- h European Commission's Joint Re 6 National Institute of Public Healt
- d Centre for Human Exposure Scie \* EMPA-Swiss Federal Laboratoris
- The REACH Centre, Lancaster Em
- 8 Department of Bioscience-Terre

Nano Today (2014) 9, 546-549



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

#### **ScienceDirect**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nanotoday

NEWS AND OPINIONS

#### A unified framework for nanosafety is needed

Janeck J. Scott-Fordsmand a,\*, S. Pozzi-Mucellib, L. Tranc, K. Aschberger d, S. Sabella e, U. Vogel f, C. Poland C, D. Balharry g, T. Fernandes<sup>g</sup>, S. Gottardo<sup>d</sup>, S. Hankin<sup>c</sup>, M.G.J. Hartl<sup>g</sup>, N.B. Hartmann d,1, D. Hristozov b, K. Hund-Rinkeh. H. Johnston<sup>g</sup>, A. Marcomini<sup>b</sup>, O. Panzer<sup>i</sup>, D. Roncato<sup>j</sup>, A.T. Saberf, H. Wallinf, V. Stones



nanotoday

CrossMark

A framework for sustainable nanomaterial selection and design based on performance, hazard, and economic considerations

> ree L. Plata 1, Shauhrat S. Chopra<sup>2,3</sup>, Thomas L. Theis<sup>2</sup>, Leanne M. Gilbertson n@1,5\*

> ENMs) and ENM-enabled products have emerged as potentially high-performance replacement chemicals. As such, there is an urgent need to incorporate environmental and human health of I design processes. Here, an adapted framework based on the Ashby material selection strates election and design process, which includes functional performance as well as environmental s. The utility of this framework is demonstrated through two case studies, the design and selec and conductive polymers, including ENMs, ENM-enabled products and their alternatives. Furl both the comparative efficacy and impacts at two scales; (i) a broad scale, where chemical/m ared for primary decision-making, and (ii) within a chemical/material class, where physicochem to tailor the desired performance and environmental impact profile. Development and implementations are the performance and environmental impact profile. Inform decision-making for the implementation of ENMs to facilitate promising applications iences.



#### NAN PRIGO

#### **EPFL**



## So what else do we need? **See the IRGC risk governance framework** (2005, 2017, applied to nanotechnology in 2006)



https://irgc.org/riskgovernance/irgc-risk-governanceframework/



EuroNanoForum | Bucharest, 12 June 2019 | Marie-Valentine Florin

#### NAN SPRIGO





## **See also: CWA 16649: Emerging Risk Management Framework**

developed in the iNTeg-risk FP7 project and also:

- IRGC guidelines for the governance of emerging risks, - forthcoming ISO31050
- Emerging Risk Continuous Activities 10. Monitoring, review & continuous 9. Communication and consultation improvement rging Risk Horizon Scr 8. Management & decision Early warnings - notions (treatment) Assessment Emerging Risk Pre-Assessment Evaluation of tolerability 8 2. Context & concerns acceptability 3. Identification of risk scenarios 6. Characterization 5. Analysis 4. Pre-assessment (appraisal/assessment)

Figure 9 — The 10 steps of the ERMF







## **Today's objectives are to:**



develop a Nanotechnology Risk Governance Framework based on

- sources of high-quality data and guidance on data quality and knowledge-based risk assessment
- 2. integration of the most appropriate **technical tools**
- 3. establishing new channels for **responsible and transparent communication** between stakeholders based on quality information and valuable feedback, and
- 4. setting up plans for future scientific and regulatory research that meet social, ethical and environmental aspects, and ensure data completeness, consistency and maximum synergy with other actions, and broad international cooperation.

#### NAN&RIGO

## **Process**

**EPFL** 



Defining the Understanding Identifying Pre-assessment issue, framing the context and existing boundaries conventions culture Exposure and Scientific technical Hazard Consequences vulnerability assesssment assessment assessment assessment Stakeholder involvement Perception, Opinion Through Opinions may Assessment of 3 and Concern stakeholder matter as much public opinions involvement as science assessment Context and culture Characerization Tolerability of the Communication Evaluation Decision of the risk risk Selecting and Identifying **Implementing** Risk management 5 deciding best available options the decision option Monitoring and Monitoring the Providina **Evaluting** feedback performance feedback





EuroNanoForum | Bucharest, 12 June 2019 | Marie-Valentine Florin

A framework for nanotechnology risk governance must be an integrator of important, concepts, principles and tools recognized as relevant and accurate to address current and future challenges of nanotechnology governance.

CONCEPTS (THEORIES), PRACTICAL **TOOLS and ILLUSTRATIONS** or implementation of each step of the process **PROCESS** Description of why and how each step can contribute to 'good risk governance'" 5 **CORE FUNCTIONS** 3 Context and culture Stakeholder involvement Communication

#### NAN& RIGO





# Each stakeholder comes with its own objectives, constraints, capacities. Today's needs for the governance of nanotechnology should result from a top-down as well as bottom-up process



Dialogue & Stakeholder Engagement: reflecting on different views and practices and providing independent, authoritative information

#### NAN&RIGO





## A framework for risk govenance could also help:

- Differentiate safety and risk
  - Risk = effect of uncertainty on objectives (ISO 31000)

     uncertain consequences of an event or activity with respect to something that humans value (IRGC)
- Evaluate and decide on 'what is an acceptable risk?'
  - · Risk acceptability varies across sectors, stakeholders, cultures and internationally
- Address tensions between precaution and innovation
  - · Weighing benefits and risks, in view of intended purpose
  - Resolve trade-offs
- Propose guidelines for addressig needs and concerns related to long-term sustainability and responsible research and innovation (RRI)
- Plan adaptability in regulatory frameworks
  - As knowledge increases and uncertainty is reduced
- Address with a common framework a range of issues related to emerging technologies
- Work towards the future
  - When nanotechnolgy is combined with other technologies, in complex nano-based systems

#### Contact:

Marie-valentine.florin@epfl.ch https://irgc.epfl.ch

